



THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No. : 10/728,106 ) Primary Examiner:  
5 Filing Date : 12/03/2003 ) Huyen X. Vo  
First Named Inventor : Shubha )  
Kadambe ) Group Art unit: 2626  
Firm Docket No. : HRL132 ) Mailing Date : 07/31/2007  
10 For: Method And Apparatus For Fast On- ) Response Date: 10/31/2007  
Line Automatic Speaker/ Environment )  
Adaptation For Speech/ Speaker Recognition )  
15 In The Presence Of Changing Environments )

**RESPONSE/AMENDMENT**

20 Mail Stop: **AMENDMENT**  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

25 TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

In reply to the Office Action dated 07/31/2007, for which the period of response has been extended to 10/31/2007, the Applicant(s) respectfully request the entry and consideration of the following amendments and/or remarks to the above captioned application. No new subject matter has been added, and all remarks herein are made  
30 without prejudice.

**Interview Summary** begins on page 2 of this paper.

**Remarks/Arguments** begin on page 3 of this paper.

35

## **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

### **IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES PRESENT FOR INTERVIEW**

1. Examiner: Huyen X. Vo
- 5 2. Representative for Inventor: Marcus Risso

### **IDENTIFICATION OF THE CLAIMS DISCUSSED**

Claims 83-123 were discussed during the phone interview.

### **GENERAL THRUST OF THE PRINCIPAL ARGUMENTS OF THE APPLICANTS AND THE EXAMINER AND CONTENT OF INTERVIEW**

10 During the interview, the Applicants' representative Marcus Risso pointed out to the  
Examiner that the computer program product claims (i.e., Claims 83-123) as filed  
included the limitation "stored on a computer readable medium for" which is sufficient to  
15 overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejection. Specifically, since the means of Claims 83-123  
are encoded on a computer readable medium for causing a series of operations on a  
computer and are not "carrier waves" as suggested by the Examiner, the claims as  
originally presented should be allowed.

20 Based on this understanding, the Examiner agreed that the claims as originally presented  
should be allowed. The Examiner further advised the Applicants' Representative to  
submit a response, without any amendments, reiterating the context of the phone  
interview. Thus, per the Examiner's instructions, the Applicants hereby submit the  
following response and respectfully requests timely allowance of all pending claims.

25